

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
1	Appendix B: Emissions Calculations Summary of Modification Company Name: MGPI of Indiana, LLC Address: 7 Ridge Avenue, Lawrenceburg, Indiana 47025 Significant Source Modification No.: 029-35496-00005 Significant Permit Modification No.: 029-35505-00005 Reviewer: Kristen Willoughby Date: 12/22/2014							
2								
3								
4								
5								
6								
7								
8								
9								
10								
11	Uncontrolled Potential to Emit (tons/yr)							
12	Emission Unit	PM	PM10	PM2.5 *	SO₂	NO_x	VOC	CO
13	One (1) DDG Dryer, identified as EU-39	418.77	418.77	418.77	18.84	27.86	418.77	464.28
14	Wet Pad (EU-40)	-	-	-	-	-	0.89	-
15	2 Screw Conveyors, 1 Drag Conveyor, 3 Product Conveyors, 1 K-Valve	2.55	1.42	0.24	-	-	-	-
16	Total	421.32	420.19	419.01	18.84	27.86	419.66	464.28
17	* PM2.5 listed is direct PM2.5							

	I
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	Total HAPs
13	39.36
14	0.04
15	-
16	39.40
17	

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K
1	Appendix B: Emissions Calculations										
2	Summary of Emissions										
3											
4	Company Name: MGPI of Indiana, LLC										
5	Address: 7 Ridge Avenue, Lawrenceburg, Indiana 47025										
6	Significant Source Modification No.: 029-35496-00005										
7	Significant Permit Modification No.: 029-35505-00005										
8	Reviewer: Kristen Willoughby										
9	Date: 12/22/14										
10											
11	Emissions (ton/yr)										
12	Process/Emission Unit	PM	PM10	PM2.5	SO2	NOx	VOC	CO	GHG		
13	PTE (New Units)										
14											
15	DDG Dryer (EU-39)	8.38	8.38	8.38	18.84	27.86	8.38	46.43	27,473		
16	Wet Pad (EU-40)	-	-	-	-	-	0.89	-	-		
17	PTE	8.38	8.38	8.38	18.84	27.86	9.27	46.43	27,473		
18	Actual to Potential (DDG Cooler and Transport System EU-32)										
19											
20	Baseline	0.00	0.00	0.00	-	-	0.00	-	-		
21	PTE	7.91	5.01	2.01	-	-	9.16	-	-		
22	Emissions Increase (ATPA)	7.91	5.01	2.01	-	-	9.16	-	-		
23	Actual to Projected Actual (EU-32 Rotary Dryers)										
24											
25	Baseline	21.45	21.45	21.45	-	-	635.51	-	-		
26	Projected Actuals	19.85	19.85	19.85	-	-	587.94	-	-		
27	Emissions Increase (ATPA)	<0	<0	<0	-	-	<0	-	-		
28	Hybrid Test										
29											
30	Total PTE New Units	8.38	8.38	8.38	18.84	27.86	9.27	46.43	27,473		
31	Total Emissions Increase from ATPA	7.91	5.01	2.01	-	-	9.16	-	-		
32	Hybrid Test Emissions Increase	16.29	13.38	10.39	18.84	27.86	18.42	46.43	27472.88		
33	PSD Significant Threshold	25	15	10	40	40	40	100	75,000		
34											
35	PM2.5 Net Emissions (ton/yr)										
36	Hybrid Test increase	10.39									
37	Contemporaneous Netting										
38	EU-32 Rotary Dryers - Baseline	21.45									
39	EU-32 Rotary Dryers - Projected Actuals	19.85									
40	Contenporaneous Decrease - EU-32 Rotary Dryers	-1.61									
41	AA 029-32386-00005 (issued 12/17/12) - add 3 boilers										
42	3 Boilers - Baseline	0.00									
43	3 Boilers - Projected Actual (PTE)	0.41									
44	Contemperaneous Increases from 3 Boilers	0.41									
45	Renewal T029-32119-00005 (issued 06/20/14) - remove 3										
46	3 Boilers - Baseline (PTE)	0.41									
47	3 Boilers - PTE	0.00									
48	Projected Decrease from 3 Boilers	-0.41									
49	Emissions Increase	8.78									
50	PSD Significant Threshold	10									
51											
52	Note: Baseline emissions for the DDG Cooler and Transport System are assumed to be zero. The transport system has new units being added.										
53	MGPI's production is bottlenecked at the existing stills which are not being modified. Any increase in production could have been accommodated with the existing dryers.										
54	Pursuant to 326 IAC 2-2(e)(3), the baseline emissions for a new emissions unit after intial construction shall be equal to the PTE. Pursuant to 326 IAC 2-2(t)(1), a new emissions unit is any										
55	emissions unit that has exisited less than 2 years.										

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q
1	Appendix B: Emissions Calculations																
2	DDG Dryer (EU-39)																
3																	
4	Company Name: MGPI of Indiana, LLC																
5	Address: 7 Ridge Avenue, Lawrenceburg, Indiana 47025																
6	Significant Source Modification No.: 029-35496-00005																
7	Significant Permit Modification No.: 029-35505-00005																
8	Reviewer: Kristen Willoughby																
9	Date: 12/22/2014																
10																	
11	Combustion Source		Hourly MMBtu/hr	Annual MMBtu/yr	Heat Content (Btu/scf)	Fuel Usage (MMcf/yr)											
12	Direct-fired Dryer Heat Input Capacity ^(a)		45	394,200	1,020	386.47											
13	RTO Heat Input Capacity ^(a)		8	70,080	1,020	68.71											
14	Total Heat Input Capacity		53	464,280		455.18											
15																	
16	Production Capacity		ton/hr	ton/yr													
17	On-site DDG Production ^(b)		9.56	83,754													
18																	
19	Control Efficiency For Criteria Emissions (% Removal) ^(c)		Pollutant	Control Efficiency													
20			HAPs	97%													
21			VOC	98%													
22			CO	90%													
23			PM/PM ₁₀ /PM _{2.5}	98%													
24																	
25	Emissions From DDG Drying (EU-39)	Pollutant	NOx		CO		SO ₂		VOC		PM		PM ₁₀		PM _{2.5}		
26			0.12		2.0		0.45		10.0		10.0		10.0		10.0		
27		Uncontrolled Emission Factor	lbs/MMBtu		lbs/MMBtu		lbs/ton DDG		lbs/ton DDG		lbs/ton DDG		lbs/ton DDG		lbs/ton DDG		
28		Units	lbs/hr	tpy	lbs/hr	tpy	lbs/hr	tpy	lbs/hr	tpy	lbs/hr	tpy	lbs/hr	tpy	lbs/hr	tpy	
29	Uncontrolled PTE		6.36	27.86	106.00	464.28	4.30	18.84	95.61	418.77	95.61	418.77	95.61	418.77	95.61	418.77	
30	Controlled PTE		-	-	10.60	46.43	-	-	1.91	8.38	1.91	8.38	1.91	8.38	1.91	8.38	
31																	
32	HAP Emissions From DDG Drying (EU-39)	Pollutant	Acetaldehyde		Formaldehyde		Acrolein		Methanol		Total HAP (from Natural Gas Combustion)		Total HAP Emissions ^(e)				
33		Uncontrolled	0.5		0.31		0.01		0.11		See Below						
34		Emission	lbs/ton DDGS		lbs/ton DDGS		lbs/ton DDGS		lbs/ton DDGS								
35		Units	lbs/hr	tpy	lbs/hr	tpy	lbs/hr	tpy	lbs/hr	tpy	lbs/hr	tpy	lbs/hr	tpy			
36	Uncontrolled PTE		4.78	20.94	2.96	12.98	0.10	0.42	1.05	4.61	0.09	0.41	8.99	39.36			
37	Controlled PTE		0.14	0.63	0.09	0.39	0.00	0.01	0.03	0.14	2.82E-03	0.01	0.27	1.18			
38																	
39			Combustion HAPs - Organics														
40	Emission Factor in lb/MMcf		Benzene	Dichlorobenze ne	Formaldehyde	Hexane	Toluene	Total - Organics									
41			2.1E-03	1.2E-03	Included Above	1.8E+00	3.4E-03										
42																	
43																	
44	Potential Emission in tons/yr		4.779E-04	2.731E-04		4.097E-01	7.738E-04	4.112E-01									
45																	
46																	
47			Combustion HAPs - Metals														
48	Emission Factor in lb/MMcf		Lead	Cadmium	Chromium	Manganese	Nickel	Total - Metals									
49			5.0E-04	1.1E-03	1.4E-03	3.8E-04	2.1E-03										
50																	
51																	
52	Potential Emission in tons/yr		1.138E-04	2.503E-04	3.186E-04	8.648E-05	4.779E-04	1.247E-03									
53																	
54																	

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q
55	Notes: Design heat inputs of direct fired dryer and of thermal oxidizer provided by the manufacturer (ICM, Inc.).																
	(a) Maximum short-term distiller's dry grain (DDG) production rate taken from facility information. Capacity of proposed system will be equivalent to combined capacity of the existing steam-tube dryers (portion of existing EU-32). Material balance is as follows:																
56																	
57	(b)																
58	Dryer feed																
59	Water / Evaporation																
60	DDG Production																
61	Annual operations assume that the proposed dryer will operate at capacity continuously throughout the year.																
62	Dryer uncontrolled emission factors and cyclone/thermal oxidizer control efficiencies provided by the manufacturer (ICM, Inc.). Assume PM/PM ₁₀ emissions are equivalent. Under the Part 70																
63	(c) Dryer uncontrolled emission factors and thermal oxidizer control efficiencies provided by the manufacturer (ICM, Inc.). Emission factors for specific HAPs include both process emissions																
64	Methodology:																
65	(d) NOx and CO:																
66	Uncontrolled PTE (lb/hr) = [Uncontrolled Emission Factor (lb/MMBtu) x Design Firing Rate (MMBtu/hr)]																
67	Uncontrolled PTE (ton/yr) = [Uncontrolled Emission Factor (lb/MMBtu) x Design Firing Rate (MMBtu/yr) / 2,000 lb/ton]																
68	SO2:																
69	Uncontrolled PTE (lb/hr) = [Uncontrolled Emission Factor (lb/ton DDG) x Production Rate (ton/hr)]																
70	Uncontrolled PTE (ton/yr) = [Uncontrolled Emission Factor (lb/ton DDG) x Production Rate (ton/yr) / 2,000 lb/ton]																
71	VOC, PM/PM10/PM2.5:																
72	Uncontrolled PTE (lb/hr) = [Uncontrolled Emission Factor (lb/ton DDG) x Production Rate (ton/hr)]																
73	Uncontrolled PTE (ton/yr) = [Uncontrolled Emission Factor (lb/ton DDG) x Production Rate (ton/yr) / 2,000 lb/ton]																
74	Controlled PTE (lb/hr) = [Uncontrolled Emission Rate (lb/hr) x (1 - Control Efficiency)]																
75	Controlled PTE (ton/yr) = [Uncontrolled Emission Rate (ton/yr) x (1-Control Efficiency)]																
76	HAPs (lb/ton emission factor):																
77	Uncontrolled PTE (lb/hr) = [Uncontrolled Emission Factor (lb/ton DDG) x Production Rate (ton/hr)]																
78	Uncontrolled PTE (ton/yr) = [Uncontrolled Emission Factor (lb/ton DDG) x Production Rate (ton/yr) / 2,000 lb/ton]																
79	Controlled PTE (lb/hr) = [Uncontrolled Emission Rate (lb/hr) x (1 - Control Efficiency)]																
80	Controlled PTE (ton/yr) = [Uncontrolled Emission Rate (ton/yr) x (1-Control Efficiency)]																
81	HAPs (lb/MMcf emission factor):																
82	Emission Factors are from AP 42, Chapter 1.4, Tables 1.4-1, 1.4-2, 1.4-3, SCC #1-02-006-02, 1-01-006-02, 1-03-006-02, and 1-03-006-03																
83	Emission (tons/yr) = Throughput (MMCF/yr) x Emission Factor (lb/MMCF)/2,000 lb/ton																
84																	
85	<u>Greenhouse Gas Calculations</u>																
86																	
87																	
88																	
89																	
90																	
91																	
92																	
93																	
94																	
95																	
96																	
97																	
98																	
99																	
100																	
101	Methodology																
102	The N2O Emission Factor for uncontrolled is 2.2. The N2O Emission Factor for low NOx burner is 0.64.																
103	Emission Factors are from AP 42, Table 1.4-2 SCC #1-02-006-02, 1-01-006-02, 1-03-006-02, and 1-03-006-03.																
104	Global Warming Potentials (GWP) from Table A-1 of 40 CFR Part 98 Subpart A.																
105	Emission (tons/yr) = Throughput (MMCF/yr) x Emission Factor (lb/MMCF)/2,000 lb/ton																
106	CO2e (tons/yr) = CO2 Potential Emission ton/yr x CO2 GWP (1) + CH4 Potential Emission ton/yr x CH4 GWP (25) + N2O																

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q
1	Appendix B: Emissions Calculations																
2	Wet Pad (EU-40)																
3																	
4	Company Name: MGPI of Indiana, LLC																
5	Address: 7 Ridge Avenue, Lawrenceburg, Indiana 47025																
6	Significant Source Modification No.: 029-35496-00005																
7	Significant Permit Modification No.: 029-35505-00005																
8	Reviewer: Kristen Willoughby																
9	Date: 12/22/2014																
10																	
11	Emission Unit	Emission Point ^(a)	Uncontrolled Emission Factors ^(b)		0.0083		0.0001		0.00002		0.0002		0.00004		Total Emissions		
12					lb/ton wet cake		lb/ton wet cake		lb/ton wet cake		lb/ton wet cake						
13			Dryer Feed ^(c)		VOC ^(d)		Acetaldehyde ^(d)		Acrolein ^(d)		Formaldehyde ^(d)		Methanol ^(d)				
14			(ton/hr)	(ton/yr)	(lb/hr)	(ton/yr)	(lb/hr)	(ton/yr)	(lb/hr)	(ton/yr)	(lb/hr)	(ton/yr)	(lb/hr)	(ton/yr)			
15	EU-40	Wet Cake Production, Storage, and Loadout	24.56	215,154	0.20	0.89		0.002	0.0108	0.0005	0.0022	0.005	0.022	0.001	0.0043	0.009	
16																	
17	Notes:																
18	(a) VOC and HAP emissions can result during periods of dryer start-up and shutdown, when the dryer throughput may be diverted to a wet pad so that wet feed is not sent to dry storage.																
19	(b) Emission factor for wet cake taken from a similar operation permitted in Indiana under Permit #T095-30443-00127 (POET Biorefining - Alexandria).																
20	(c) Hourly dryer feed is maximum as taken from the material balance provided by ICM dated 1/30/2015.																
21	(d) Methodology and Sample Calculations:																
22	Emission rate (lb/hr) = Dryer Feed (ton/hr) X Wet Cake Emission factor (lb/ton)																
23	Emission rate (ton/yr) = Dryer Feed (ton/yr) X Wet Cake Emission factor (lb/ton) x ton/2,000 lb																

	R
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	HAP issions (ton/yr)
12	
13	
14	
15	0.0387
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
1	Appendix B: Emissions Calculations														
2	DDG Cooler and Transport System Projected Emission Estimates (EU-32)														
3															
4	Company Name: MGPI of Indiana, LLC														
5	Address: 7 Ridge Avenue, Lawrenceburg, Indiana 47025														
6	Significant Source Modification No.: 029-35496-00005														
7	Significant Permit Modification No.: 029-35505-00005														
8	Reviewer: Kristen Willoughby														
9	Date: 12/22/2014														
10															
	Emission Unit	Emission Point	Description	Stack ID	Uncontrolled PM Emission Factor	Uncontrolled PM ₁₀ Emission Factor	Uncontrolled PM _{2.5} Emission Factor	DDG throughput		Uncontrolled PM Emission Rate		Uncontrolled PM ₁₀ Emission Rate		Uncontrolled PM _{2.5} Emission Rate	
11															
12					(lb/ton)	(lb/ton)	(lb/ton)	(ton/hr)	(ton/yr)	(lb/hr)	(ton/yr)	(lb/hr)	(ton/yr)	(lb/hr)	
13	EU-32	4 Screw Conveyors, 2 Drag Conveyors, 3 Product Conveyors, 1 K-Valve	Grain Conveying	S-310	0.061	0.034	0.0058	9.56	83,754	0.58	2.55	0.33	1.42	0.06	
14		Drum Cooler	Grain Conveying	NA	0.061	0.034	0.0058			0.58	2.55	0.33	1.42	0.06	
15	Totals									1.17	5.11	0.65	2.85	0.11	
16															
	Emission Unit	Emission Point	Description	Stack ID	Controlled PM Emission Factor	Controlled PM ₁₀ Emission Factor	Controlled PM _{2.5} Emission Factor	DDG throughput		Controlled PM Emission Rate		Controlled PM ₁₀ Emission Rate		Controlled PM _{2.5} Emission Rate	
17															
18					(lb/ton)	(lb/ton)	(lb/ton)	(ton/hr)	(ton/yr)	(lb/hr)	(ton/yr)	(lb/hr)	(ton/yr)	(lb/hr)	
19	EU-32	Hammer Mill	Hammer Milling ^(b)	S-310	0.067	0.052	0.036	9.56	83,754	0.64	2.81	0.49	2.16	0.35	
20	Totals									0.64	2.81	0.49	2.16	0.35	
21															
22	Methodology:														
23	(a) Factors taken from AP-42, Fifth Edition, Volume 1, Section 9.9.1 (Grain Elevators and Processes).														
24	(b) As recommended by AP-42 Appendix B.2, Table B.2.2 for Category 7 - "Grain Processing" on Page 17, the particle size distribution for PM ₁₀ is 61% of Total PM and for PM _{2.5} is 23% of Total PM for uncontrolled emissions. Additionally, AP-42 Appendix B.2, Table B.2.3 "Typical														
25	PM Size Range	Uncontrolled wt%	Collection Efficiency	Controlled Wt	Controlled wt%										
26	PM _{2.5}	23%	80%	0.046	54%										
27	PM _{2.5} to PM ₁₀	38%	95%	0.019	22%										
28	PM ₁₀ and higher	39%	95%	0.0195	23%										
29	1				0.0845										
30	Overall control:				91.6%										
31	(c) Methodology:														
32	Uncontrolled PTE (lb/hr) = [Uncontrolled Emission Factor (lb/ton DDG) x Production Rate (ton/hr)]														
33	Uncontrolled PTE (ton/yr) = [Uncontrolled Emission Factor (lb/ton DDG) x Production Rate (ton/yr) / 2,000 lb/ton]														
34	Controlled PTE Hammermill (lb/hr) = [Controlled Emission Factor (lb/ton DDG) x Production Rate (ton/hr)]														
35	Controlled PTE Hammermill (ton/yr) = [Controlled Emission Factor (lb/ton DDG) x Production Rate (ton/yr) / 2,000 lb/ton]														
36	Uncontrolled PTE PM2.5 Hammermill (lb/hr) = Controlled PTE Hammermill (lb/hr) / (1 - 80%)														
37	Uncontrolled PTE PM/PM10 Hammermill (lb/hr) = Controlled PTE Hammermill (lb/hr) / (1 - 95%)														
38	Uncontrolled PTE PM2.5 Hammermill (ton/yr) = Controlled PTE Hammermill (ton/yr) / (1 - 80%)														
39	Uncontrolled PTE PM/PM10 Hammermill (ton/yr) = Controlled PTE Hammermill (ton/yr) / (1 - 95%)														
40															

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O
41															
42	Emission Unit	Emission Point	Description	Uncontrolled Emission Factors ^(a)		0.219 lb/ton DDG		0.016 lbs/ton DDG		0.00033 lbs/ton DDG		0.010 lbs/ton DDG		0.00033 lbs/ton DDG	
43				DDG throughput		VOC		Acetaldehyde		Acrolein		Formaldehyde		Methanol	
44						(ton/hr)	(ton/yr)	(lb/hr)	(ton/yr)	(lb/hr)	(ton/yr)	(lb/hr)	(ton/yr)	(lb/hr)	(ton/yr)
45															
46	EU-32	Drum Cooler	Cooling Drum Apparatus	9.56	83,754	2.09	9.16	0.16	0.69	0.0031	0.014	0.10	0.43	0.034	
47		Existing Screw Conveyor	Grain Conveying												
48		New 3 Screw Conveyors, 2 Drag Conveyors, 3 Product Conveyors, 1 K-Valve	Grain Conveying												
49		Existing Hammer Mill and Cyclone	Hammer Milling												
50															
51	Methodology:														
52	(a) VOC emission factor for DDG cooling taken from a similar operation permitted in Indiana under Permit #T169-31191-00068 (POET Biorefining - North Manchester). HAP emission factors are derived as a percentage of the VOC emission factor presented, assuming that individual HAPs are														
53	(b) Methodology:														
54	Emission rate (lb/hr) = DDG Throughput (ton/hr) X DDG Cooling Emission factor (lb/ton)														
55	Emission rate (ton/yr) = DDG Throughput (ton/yr) X DDG Cooling Emission factor (lb/ton) x ton/2,000 lb														
56															
57	Dryer emissions														
58															
59															
60															
61															
62															
63															
64															
65	Other DDG Cooler Emission Factors														
66	POET Biorefining - N Manchester														
67	5.685 lb VOC/hr														
68	26 ton DDG/hr														
69	0.218653846 lb VOC / ton DDG														

From June 2004 testing at POET-Biorefining Jewell (IA)

From June 2004 testing at POET-Biorefining Jewell (IA)

	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
8							
9							
10							
11	Controlled mission ate	Controlled PM Emission Rate		Controlled PM ₁₀ Emission Rate		Controlled PM _{2.5} Emission Rate	
12	(ton/yr)	(lb/hr)	(ton/yr)	(lb/hr)	(ton/yr)	(lb/hr)	(ton/yr)
13	0.24	0.09	0.38	0.05	0.21	0.01	0.04
14	0.24	0.58	2.55	0.33	1.42	0.06	0.24
15	0.49	0.67	2.94	0.37	1.64	0.06	0.28
16							
17	Controlled mission ate	Uncontrolled PM Emission Rate		Uncontrolled PM ₁₀ Emission Rate		Uncontrolled PM _{2.5} Emission Rate	
18	(ton/yr)	(lb/hr)	(ton/yr)	(lb/hr)	(ton/yr)	(lb/hr)	(ton/yr)
19	1.53	12.81	56.12	9.86	43.17	1.74	7.64
20	1.53	12.81	56.12	9.86	43.17	1.74	7.64
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
29							
30							
31							
32							
33							
34							
35							
36							
37							
38							
39							
40							

	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V
41							
42	036	Total HAP Emissions					
43	n DDG						
44	hanol						
45	(ton/yr)	(lb/hr)	(ton/yr)				
46	0.15	0.292	1.28				
47							
48							
49							
50							
51							
52	mitted in the same proportion						
53							
54							
55							
56							
57							
58							
59							
60							
61							
62							
63							
64							
65							
66							
67							
68							
69							

	D	E	F
1	Appendix B: Emissions Calculations EU-32 Rotary Dryer Baseline Emissions		
2			
3			
4	Company Name: MGPI of Indiana, LLC		
5	Address: 7 Ridge Avenue, Lawrenceburg, Indiana 47025		
6	Permit Source Modification No.: 029-35496-00005		
7	Permit Modification No.: 029-35505-00005		
8	Reviewer: Kristen Willoughby		
9	Date: 12/22/2014		

	A	B	C	D	E	F
11	EU-32 Rotary Dryers					
12						
13	PM, PM ₁₀ , PM _{2.5} Emissions					
14	Constituent	Dryer Feed Rate ^(a) (ton/yr)	Controlled Emission Factor ^(b) (lb/ton)	Controlled Emissions ^(c) (ton/yr)		
15	PM	158,894	0.27	21.45		
16	PM10		0.27	21.45		
17	PM2.5		0.27	21.45		
18	<div>Notes:</div> <div><div>(a)</div><div>Feed (wet cake) into existing steam tube dryer system is taken from facility records as the average over the 24-month period from January 2013 - December 2014.</div></div> <div><div>(b)</div><div>Controlled emission Factor from AP-42, Table 9.9.7-1. The emission estimation methodology used matches that provided in the IDEM</div></div> <div><div>(c)</div><div>Methodology: Controlled Emissions (ton/yr) = Usage (ton/yr) x EF (lb/ton) / 2,000 lb/ton PM2.5 emissions conservatively assumed to be equal to PM10 emissions.</div></div>					
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						
26	VOC Emissions					
27	Dryer Feed Rate (ton/yr)	Water Content ^(b) (% by wt)	VOC Content of Water ^(b) (lb VOC/lb water)	VOC from Dryers (ton/yr)		
28	158,894	66.66%	0.006	635.51		
29	<div>Notes:</div> <div><div>(a)</div><div>Feed (wet cake) into existing steam tube dryer system is taken from facility records as the average over the the 24-month period from</div></div> <div><div>(b)</div><div>Water content (% wt) and VOC content of water (lb VOC/lb water) taken from May 22, 2014 ATSD, Appendix A, Page 8 of 23, for permit</div></div> <div><div>(c)</div><div>Methodology and Sample Calculations: VOC (ton/yr) = Dryer Feed Rate (ton/yr) x Water Content of Feed (% by wt) x (lb VOC/lb water)</div></div>					
30						
31						
32						
33						
34						

	D	E	F
1	Appendix B: Emissions Calculations		
2	EU-32 Rotary Dryer Projected Actual Emissions		
3			
4	Company Name: MGPI of Indiana, LLC		
5	Address: 7 Ridge Avenue, Lawrenceburg, Indiana 47025		
6	Permit Modification No.: 029-35496-00005		
7	Permit Modification No.: 029-35505-00005		
8	Reviewer: Kristen Willoughby		
9	Date: 12/22/2014		

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
11	EU-32 Steam Tube Rotary Dryers						
12							
13	PM, PM ₁₀ , PM _{2.5} Emissions						
14	Constituent	Dryer Feed Rate ^(a) (ton/yr)	Controlled Emission Factor ^(b) (lb/ton)	Controlled Emissions ^(c) (ton/yr)	Uncon Emiss (ton/yr)		
15	PM	147,000	0.27	19.8	13		
16	PM10		0.27	19.8	13		
17	PM2.5		0.27	19.8	13		
18							
19	Notes:						
20	(a)	Feed (wet cake) into existing steam tube dryer system is based on operation as back-up to the proposed direct-fired dryer.					
21	(b)	Controlled emission Factor from AP-42, Table 9.9.7-1. The emission estimation methodology used matches that provided in the IDEM c					
22	(c)	Methodology:					
23		Controlled Emissions (ton/yr) = Usage (ton/yr) x EF (lb/ton) / 2,000 lb/ton					
24		PM2.5 emissions conservatively assumed to be equal to PM10 emissions.					
25	(d)	Uncontrolled emissions estimated based on an 85% control efficiency for controlled emissions.					
26		PM _{2.5} emissions conservatively assumed to be equal to PM ₁₀ emissions.					
27							
28							
29	VOC Emissions						
30	Dryer Feed Rate (ton/hr)	Water Content ^(b) (%) by wt)	VOC Content of Water ^(b) (lb VOC/lb water)	VOC from Dryers (ton/yr)			
31	147,000	66.66%	0.006	587.9			
32							
33	Notes:						
34	(a)	Feed (wet cake) into existing steam tube dryer system is based on operation as back-up to the proposed direct-fired dryer.					
35	(b)	Water content (%) wt) and VOC content of water (lb VOC/lb water) taken from May 22, 2014 ATSD, Appendix A, Page 8 of					
36	(c)	Methodology:					
37		VOC (ton/yr) = Dryer Feed Rate (ton/yr) x Water Content of Feed (%) by wt) x (lb VOC/lb water)					
38							
39	HAP Emissions						
40	HAP	HAP% ^(a) (by wt of VOC)	HAP from Dryers (ton/yr)				
41	Acetaldehyde	6.18%	36.3				
42	Acrolein	0.37%	2.2				
43	Methanol	1.24%	7.3				
44	Formaldehyde	0.04%	0.2				
45	Total		46.0				
46							
47	Notes:						

	A	B	C	D	E	F	G
48	(a)	HAP composition taken from May 22, 2014 ATSD, Appendix A, Page 8 of 23, for permit T029-32119-00005.					

	H
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	2.3
16	2.3
17	2.3
18	Document
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42	
43	
44	
45	
46	
47	

	H
48	